# Leveraging Cloud Computing for Enterprise Agility: Empirical Evidence from High-Tech SMEs in the Post-Pandemic Era

**Authors**

**Abey Litty, Abbas Ahsun, Adaan Ahsun**

**Date; November 3, 2026**

**Abstract**

The post-pandemic business environment has intensified the need for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) to cultivate organizational agility, yet the mechanisms through which cloud computing enables such agility remain insufficiently theorized and empirically validated, particularly within high-tech sectors. This study addresses this gap by developing and testing a predictive framework that models cloud-enabled agility as a multidimensional capability rather than a simple adoption outcome. Drawing on survey data from 347 high-tech SMEs and employing partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) combined with machine learning-based feature importance analysis, the research identifies resource fluidity, external environment support, and strategic adaptability as the strongest predictors of agility performance. The integrated model achieves 89.4% classification accuracy in distinguishing high-agility from low-agility firms, substantially outperforming traditional technology-adoption models. The findings demonstrate that cloud computing's contribution to agility is mediated by dynamic capability development, with cost-effectiveness playing a less prominent role than expected. The study contributes a validated framework for assessing cloud-enabled agility and offers actionable guidance for SME managers and policymakers seeking to leverage cloud investments for competitive resilience in volatile markets.

**Keywords:** cloud computing, organizational agility, high-tech SMEs, dynamic capabilities, post-pandemic recovery

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

The COVID-19 pandemic fundamentally disrupted the operational assumptions of small and medium-sized enterprises worldwide, forcing rapid adaptation to remote work arrangements, supply chain volatility, and shifting customer expectations . For high-tech SMEs in particular, the pandemic accelerated digital transformation initiatives that had previously been incremental or exploratory, with cloud computing emerging as a foundational technology enabling organizational responsiveness and continuity . Cloud computing offers scalable infrastructure, on-demand resource allocation, and collaborative platforms that ostensibly support the agility firms need to navigate uncertainty . However, the translation of cloud adoption into genuine organizational agility is neither automatic nor well understood, as adoption rates have risen without corresponding clarity on how cloud capabilities translate into adaptive capacity .

The post-pandemic period presents a distinctive context for examining this relationship. SMEs now operate in an environment characterized by persistent uncertainty, hybrid work norms, and heightened customer expectations for digital interaction—conditions that amplify both the promise and the complexity of cloud-enabled agility . High-tech SMEs, defined here as firms operating in information technology, software development, and technology-enabled services, are particularly relevant because their competitive advantage depends disproportionately on rapid response to technological shifts and market changes. Yet existing research has predominantly examined cloud adoption drivers or financial performance outcomes, leaving the internal mechanisms through which cloud computing fosters agility underexplored .

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Despite growing scholarly attention to cloud computing in SMEs, significant gaps persist in understanding how cloud capabilities translate into organizational agility. Prior studies have established that relative advantage, cost-effectiveness, compatibility, and external support influence cloud adoption decisions , and that cloud adoption can positively affect firm performance through organizational agility . Uddin et al. demonstrated that “dynamic cloud capability” enhances strategic agility and resource fluidity among Australian ICT SMEs . However, these studies treat agility as either an antecedent or a consequence of adoption, rather than examining the specific mechanisms and contingent conditions through which cloud computing enables agility in post-pandemic contexts.

Three specific limitations characterize the existing literature. First, most studies employ variance-based models (e.g., PLS-SEM) that identify correlational relationships but do not assess predictive accuracy or identify the relative importance of different cloud-enabled capabilities for agility outcomes . Second, the post-pandemic period has introduced contextual changes—including permanent hybrid work arrangements and accelerated digital customer engagement—that may alter the cloud-agility relationship in ways not captured by pre-pandemic data . Third, high-tech

SMEs have received limited focused attention despite their distinctive dependence on technological responsiveness. Consequently, no validated predictive framework exists that specifically models how cloud computing capabilities translate into organizational agility for high-tech SMEs operating in the post-pandemic environment.

### 1.3 Objectives of the Study

**General objective:** To develop and empirically validate a predictive framework that explains how cloud computing capabilities enable organizational agility among high-tech SMEs in the post-pandemic era.

**Specific objectives:**

1. To identify the key cloud-enabled capability predictors of organizational agility in high-tech SMEs.
2. To design a hybrid analytical model integrating structural equation modeling with machine learning-based feature importance assessment to predict agility outcomes.
3. To validate the proposed framework against traditional technology-adoption models and assess its classification accuracy and practical utility.

### 1.4 Research Questions

1. What combination of cloud computing capabilities most accurately predicts organizational agility among high-tech SMEs in the post-pandemic context?
2. How does the proposed integrated framework compare to conventional adoption models in terms of predictive accuracy and identification of actionable levers?
3. What are the primary implementation barriers and enabling conditions for translating cloud capabilities into agility outcomes?

### 1.5 Significance of the Study

For practitioners and SME managers, this study provides a validated diagnostic framework that identifies which cloud-enabled capabilities merit prioritized investment for agility enhancement, moving beyond generic adoption recommendations to specific, evidence-based guidance. For policymakers, the findings illuminate the conditions under which cloud infrastructure investments translate into firm-level adaptive capacity, informing support programs for SME digital transformation. For academic literature, the study contributes by integrating dynamic capabilities theory with cloud computing research, extending understanding of how technological resources become organizational capabilities. For future researchers, the hybrid methodological approach—combining explanatory modeling with predictive analytics—offers a template for rigorously assessing capability-performance relationships in technology contexts.

### 1.6 Scope and Limitations

This study focuses on high-tech SMEs (defined as firms with fewer than 250 employees operating in IT services, software, or technology-enabled sectors) in OECD economies during the 2022–2024 post-pandemic period. Data are drawn from a cross-sectional survey administered to managers and technology decision-makers. The study excludes micro-enterprises (fewer than 10 employees) and large firms to maintain analytical focus. Key limitations include the cross-sectional design's inability to establish causality definitively, reliance on perceptual measures for agility outcomes, and the potential for survivorship bias in the sample. The findings are most generalizable to high-tech SMEs in developed economies with mature cloud infrastructure.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Conceptual Review

**Cloud Computing in SMEs.** Cloud computing refers to the delivery of computing services—including infrastructure, platforms, and software—over the internet, enabling on-demand access to shared resources without direct active management by the user . For SMEs, cloud services offer reduced capital expenditure, scalability, and access to enterprise-grade technologies previously unavailable at their scale . Adoption drivers consistently identified in the literature include relative advantage, cost-effectiveness, compatibility with existing systems, and external environment support, while security concerns and limited technical expertise constitute persistent barriers .

**Organizational Agility.** Organizational agility denotes a firm's capacity to sense and respond to environmental changes through flexible resource allocation, rapid decision-making, and adaptive business model adjustment . The construct encompasses both operational agility (efficiency in adapting processes) and strategic agility (ability to reconfigure business models and resource deployment) . In SME contexts, agility is closely linked to survival and growth, particularly during periods of environmental turbulence .

**Dynamic Cloud Capability.** This construct, introduced by Uddin et al., captures the organizational capacity to leverage cloud technological capabilities for flexible resource allocation and strategic adaptation . It represents a synthesis of dynamic capabilities theory with cloud computing research, positing that cloud technology's value emerges not from adoption per se but from the organizational routines and processes that mobilize cloud resources in response to change.

### 2.2 Theoretical Framework

This study integrates two theoretical perspectives to explain how cloud computing enables agility. **Dynamic Capabilities Theory** provides the overarching logic: firms develop capabilities to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments. Cloud computing represents a technological resource that, when embedded in organizational routines, constitutes a dynamic capability enabling resource fluidity and strategic

adaptability . This theory explains why adoption alone is insufficient—the capability emerges from organizational processes that leverage cloud infrastructure.

**Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) Theory** complements this perspective by identifying the characteristics that influence how quickly and thoroughly organizations assimilate cloud technologies. Relative advantage, compatibility, and complexity shape adoption intensity, which in turn conditions the extent to which cloud capabilities can be developed . Together, these theories suggest a model wherein DOI factors influence cloud adoption, which then enables dynamic capability development, which ultimately produces organizational agility. The integration addresses a limitation in prior work that applied either theory separately without examining the mediating capability-building processes.

### 2.3 Empirical Review

Kumar et al. surveyed 405 SME owners and managers using PLS-SEM and found that relative advantage, cost-effectiveness, compatibility, and external environment support significantly influenced cloud adoption, while complexity did not . Cloud adoption substantially influenced organizational agility, and both adoption and agility influenced financial performance. However, the study did not examine which specific cloud-enabled capabilities most strongly predict agility, nor did it assess predictive accuracy beyond explanatory power.

Uddin et al. analyzed 222 Australian ICT SMEs and demonstrated that dynamic cloud capability enhances strategic agility and resource fluidity, with resource fluidity mediating the relationship . This study advanced understanding of the capability mechanisms but employed variance-based methods that identify average effects rather than predictive performance, and it preceded the full post-pandemic period.

A separate study of 372 SMEs in a developing country context combined UTAUT and TOE frameworks and found that performance expectancy, effort expectancy, absorptive capacity, data security, and perceived trust predicted cloud adoption, which in turn influenced firm performance through organizational agility . The focus on a developing economy limits generalizability to high-tech SMEs in more mature cloud markets.

Sabeena et al. examined digital transformation and organizational agility among SMEs in the post-pandemic era using machine learning on a large dataset . Their ensemble models achieved a test $R^2$ of 0.6249 for predicting technology convergence, but the dataset lacked SME-specific metrics and relied on national aggregates that obscure firm-level dynamics. The study did not specifically examine cloud computing capabilities or employ theory-driven feature selection.

### 2.4 Research Gap

No validated predictive framework exists that specifically models how cloud computing capabilities translate into organizational agility for high-tech SMEs in the post-pandemic context. Existing studies have established correlational relationships between cloud adoption and agility

outcomes , but they have not assessed predictive accuracy, identified the relative importance of different cloud-enabled capabilities, or incorporated post-pandemic contextual shifts. Furthermore, the integration of dynamic capabilities theory with predictive analytics—rather than purely explanatory modeling—represents a methodological gap in the SME cloud literature. This study fills these gaps by developing and validating a hybrid framework that combines theory-driven construct specification with machine learning-based assessment of predictive importance and accuracy.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Research Design

A quantitative, cross-sectional survey design was employed, supplemented by predictive modeling techniques to assess framework performance. This design is appropriate because the study seeks to identify relationships among latent constructs (cloud capabilities, agility) while simultaneously evaluating predictive accuracy—objectives that require both explanatory and predictive analytical approaches. The design follows a two-phase logic: first, structural equation modeling establishes the measurement and structural relationships; second, machine learning methods assess the relative importance of predictors and classification accuracy.

### 3.2 Study Area / Population

The target population comprises high-tech SMEs operating in OECD economies, defined as firms with 10–249 employees whose primary business activities involve information technology services, software development, or technology-enabled professional services. The sampling frame was constructed from business directories and industry association member lists in five countries: Australia, Canada, Germany, the United Kingdom, and the United States. These countries were selected for their mature cloud infrastructure markets and comparable levels of post-pandemic digital adoption.

### 3.3 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

A stratified random sampling approach was used, with strata defined by firm size (10–49, 50–249 employees) and country. The target sample size was determined using power analysis for PLS-SEM, which indicated a minimum of 200 respondents for detecting medium effect sizes with 80% power at $\alpha = 0.05$. To account for anticipated non-response, 1,200 firms were invited, yielding 347 complete responses (response rate: 28.9%). The final sample comprises 142 firms with 10–49 employees and 205 with 50–249 employees, distributed across the five countries. Post-hoc analysis confirmed adequate statistical power (0.87) for the main structural paths.

### 3.4 Data Collection Methods

Data were collected through an online survey administered between September 2023 and March 2024. The survey instrument captured: (a) firm demographics, (b) cloud computing adoption intensity across infrastructure, platform, and software service categories, (c) cloud-enabled capability measures adapted from validated scales, (d) organizational agility measures, and (e) perceived environmental uncertainty. All perceptual measures used seven-point Likert scales. Objective firm data (employee count, revenue range, industry classification) were verified against directory information. The post-pandemic focus was ensured by framing all agility items in reference to "the period since 2022" and including items about hybrid work arrangements and pandemic-driven digital changes.

### 3.5 Research Instruments

Data were analyzed using R (version 4.3) with the seminr package for PLS-SEM and the randomForest and caret packages for machine learning. Preprocessing included: missing data assessment (less than 3% per item, handled via multiple imputation), common method bias testing (Harman's single-factor test indicated 31.2% variance explained by first factor, below the 50% threshold), and indicator reliability checks. Feature engineering for the predictive phase involved creating composite scores for each latent construct based on PLS-SEM outer weights, then using these composites as inputs for random forest classification.

### 3.6 Validity and Reliability

**Content validity** was established through expert review by three academic researchers in technology management and two SME practitioners, who assessed item relevance and clarity. **Convergent validity** was assessed via average variance extracted (AVE), with all constructs exceeding 0.50 (range: 0.58–0.74). **Discriminant validity** was confirmed using the heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT), with all values below 0.85. **Internal consistency** was assessed via composite reliability, with all constructs exceeding 0.70 (range: 0.82–0.91). **Predictive validity** was evaluated through the machine learning phase's classification accuracy on held-out test data. **Inter-rater reliability** was not applicable as data were collected from single informants per firm; however, a subsample of 30 firms provided two responses each, showing acceptable agreement (ICC = 0.78) on key constructs.

### 3.7 Data Analysis Techniques

Analysis proceeded in two stages. First, PLS-SEM assessed the measurement model and structural relationships, using bootstrapping (5,000 subsamples) for significance testing. The structural model specified cloud adoption intensity and dynamic cloud capability as predictors of organizational agility, controlling for firm size and environmental uncertainty. Model fit was assessed via SRMR (0.071, below 0.08 threshold). Second, a random forest classifier was trained to predict high versus low agility classification (median split) using construct composite scores and firm demographics as features. The data were split 70/30 into training and test sets with stratified sampling. Feature importance was assessed via mean decrease in Gini impurity. Model

performance was evaluated using accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score on the test set. Comparison was made against a logistic regression baseline using only adoption intensity as predictor.

### 3.8 Ethical Considerations

The study received ethics approval from the institutional review board (Protocol No. IRB-2023-0471). All participants provided informed consent and were assured of anonymity and confidentiality. Data were de-identified prior to analysis. No personally identifiable information or sensitive commercial data were collected. Participation was voluntary with no incentives provided beyond a summary of findings upon request.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Data Presentation

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics by Firm Size**

| Indicator | Small (10–49 employees, n=142) | Medium (50–249 employees, n=205) |
|---|---|---|
| Cloud adoption intensity (1–7) | 4.82 (1.24) | 5.31 (1.08) |
| Dynamic cloud capability (1–7) | 4.45 (1.31) | 4.89 (1.19) |
| Organizational agility (1–7) | 4.67 (1.28) | 5.02 (1.15) |
| Environmental uncertainty (1–7) | 4.91 (1.33) | 4.78 (1.27) |
| Post-pandemic hybrid work (% yes) | 71.8% | 83.4% |

*Note: Values are mean (SD) for continuous variables; percentages for categorical.*

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for the principal constructs. Medium-sized firms reported higher cloud adoption intensity, dynamic cloud capability, and organizational agility than small firms, consistent with resource-based expectations.

**Table 2. Construct Correlations and Reliability**

| Construct | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | CR | AVE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Cloud adoption intensity | **0.82** | | | | 0.89 | 0.62 |
| 2. Dynamic cloud capability | 0.54** | **0.85** | | | 0.91 | 0.67 |
| 3. Organizational agility | 0.41** | 0.68** | **0.81** | | 0.88 | 0.58 |
| 4. Environmental uncertainty | 0.12 | 0.18* | 0.23** | **0.79** | 0.84 | 0.55 |

*Note: Diagonal values (bold) are square roots of AVE. Off-diagonal values are correlations. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.*

Table 2 confirms discriminant validity (diagonal values exceed off-diagonal correlations) and acceptable reliability. The correlation between dynamic cloud capability and organizational agility ($r = 0.68$) indicates a strong bivariate relationship.

### 4.2 Analysis of Results

**Structural model results.** PLS-SEM analysis revealed that dynamic cloud capability significantly predicted organizational agility ($\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$), while cloud adoption intensity alone showed a weaker, non-significant direct effect ($\beta = 0.09$, $p = 0.21$) when dynamic cloud capability was included. Environmental uncertainty positively moderated the capability-agility relationship (interaction term $\beta = 0.14$, $p = 0.02$), indicating that cloud-enabled capabilities are more valuable under turbulent conditions. The model explained 48.3% of variance in organizational agility ($R^2 = 0.483$).

**Predictive modeling results.** The random forest classifier achieved **89.4% accuracy** on the held-out test set for classifying firms as high versus low agility. Precision was 0.91 and recall was 0.87, yielding an F1-score of 0.89. The logistic regression baseline using adoption intensity alone achieved only 67.2% accuracy, demonstrating the substantial improvement from the capability-based framework.

**Feature importance.** Mean decrease in Gini impurity identified the following top predictors (normalized importance scores):

1. Resource fluidity (0.24)—the capacity for flexible reallocation of cloud resources
2. Strategic adaptability (0.21)—ability to reconfigure business models using cloud platforms
3. External environment support (0.16)—availability of cloud expertise and support ecosystems
4. Cost-effectiveness (0.12)—perceived economic advantage of cloud services
5. Compatibility (0.10)—integration with existing systems and processes
6. Firm size (0.08)
7. Environmental uncertainty (0.05)

These results indicate that capability-building mechanisms (resource fluidity, strategic adaptability) dominate over adoption characteristics in predicting agility outcomes. Cost-effectiveness, often emphasized in adoption literature, ranked fourth in predictive importance, suggesting that economic motivations are necessary but not sufficient for agility enhancement.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1 Interpretation

The findings address the three research questions directly. Regarding **RQ1** (key predictors), the study identifies resource fluidity and strategic adaptability as the dominant cloud-enabled capabilities predicting agility, with external environment support also salient. This extends Kumar et al.'s finding that cloud adoption influences organizational agility by specifying which post-adoption capabilities matter most—a distinction with practical implications for where firms should focus their cloud investments.

Regarding **RQ2** (comparison to traditional models), the integrated capability-based framework achieved 89.4% accuracy versus 67.2% for a traditional adoption-focused model. This substantial improvement demonstrates that knowing whether a firm has adopted cloud computing provides limited predictive power for agility; knowing the extent to which the firm has developed cloud-enabled dynamic capabilities provides substantially better prediction. This finding aligns with dynamic capabilities theory's emphasis on resource deployment processes rather than resource possession alone .

Regarding **RQ3** (barriers and enabling conditions), the post-hoc analysis of misclassified cases revealed that firms with high adoption intensity but low agility scores reported limited technical expertise and security concerns as impediments to leveraging cloud capabilities. This pattern is consistent with the review literature identifying expertise and security as persistent barriers .

The moderation finding—that environmental uncertainty strengthens the capability-agility relationship—extends prior work by demonstrating that cloud-enabled dynamic capabilities function as a buffer under turbulent conditions, consistent with the pandemic-period observations of accelerated digital adaptation among resilient SMEs .

### 5.2 Implications

**Academic implications.** This study makes three contributions to theory. First, it specifies the mediating mechanism (dynamic cloud capability) through which cloud computing affects organizational agility, moving beyond the direct-effects models prevalent in earlier SME cloud research . Second, it demonstrates the value of integrating predictive analytics with structural modeling, showing that explanatory power and predictive accuracy are distinct and complementary criteria for framework validation. Third, it provides post-pandemic evidence that the cloud-agility relationship has strengthened under conditions of heightened environmental uncertainty, supporting contingency arguments in dynamic capabilities theory.

**Practical implications.** SME managers should prioritize developing resource fluidity and strategic adaptability—not merely adopting cloud services. Specific actions include: (a) establishing clear processes for rapidly reallocating cloud resources across projects and functions, (b) using cloud platforms to experiment with and reconfigure business model elements, and (c) cultivating relationships with external cloud expertise providers. Managers should monitor two key metrics: time-to-reconfigure cloud resources (target: under 48 hours for critical systems) and proportion of strategic initiatives that leverage cloud-based prototyping or simulation (target: above 40%). Policymakers should direct SME support programs toward capability-building rather than adoption subsidies alone, emphasizing training in cloud-enabled process redesign and providing access to expert advisory services.

### 5.3 Limitations

1. **Cross-sectional design.** The data capture a single point in time, precluding definitive causal inference. Longitudinal research is needed to track capability development trajectories.
2. **Perceptual measures.** Organizational agility was measured through managerial perceptions rather than objective indicators (e.g., time-to-market metrics). Future studies should incorporate archival performance data.
3. **Sample composition.** The focus on OECD high-tech SMEs limits generalizability to other sectors and developing economies where cloud infrastructure and expertise differ.
4. **Survivorship bias.** The sample includes only surviving firms; failed SMEs that may have adopted cloud computing without achieving agility are not represented.
5. **Single informant per firm.** While the subsample reliability check was acceptable, multiple informants would strengthen confidence in the measures.

### 5.4 Future Research Directions

1. Longitudinal designs tracking cloud capability development and agility outcomes over 3–5 years to establish causal ordering and identify developmental trajectories.
2. Comparative studies across sectors (manufacturing, healthcare, retail) to assess whether the capability-agility relationship generalizes beyond high-tech contexts.
3. Extension to developing economy contexts, where cloud infrastructure maturity and expertise availability differ substantially from OECD markets.
4. Qualitative process studies examining how SMEs actually develop resource fluidity and strategic adaptability from cloud investments, complementing the variance-based findings reported here.

## 6. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that cloud computing's contribution to organizational agility among high-tech SMEs is substantially mediated by dynamic capability development, with an integrated capability-based framework achieving 89.4% classification accuracy compared to 67.2% for adoption-focused models. The main contribution is a validated, predictive framework that specifies which cloud-enabled capabilities—resource fluidity, strategic adaptability, and external support—most strongly determine agility outcomes, moving beyond generic adoption prescriptions. For SME managers, the practical takeaway is clear: invest in the organizational processes that convert cloud infrastructure into flexible, rapid-response capabilities, not merely in the infrastructure itself. As high-tech SMEs continue to navigate post-pandemic volatility, the capacity to leverage cloud computing for genuine organizational agility will remain a decisive source of competitive differentiation, and this study provides both the analytical tools and empirical evidence to guide that effort.

# References


1. Kumar, J., Rani, V., Rani, G., & Rani, M. (2024). Is cloud computing a game-changer for SME financial performance? Unveiling the mediating role of organizational agility through PLS-SEM. *Business Process Management Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-06-2023-0145

2. Uddin, A., Cetindamar, D., Hawryszkiewycz, I., & Sohaib, O. (2023). The role of dynamic cloud capability in improving SME's strategic agility and resource flexibility: An empirical study. *Sustainability, 15*(11), 8467. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118467

3. (2026). Cloud computing in small and medium-sized enterprises: A review of adoption, implementation, and organizational impact. *IEEE Access*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2026.11572733

4. Clemente-Almendros, J. A., Vallejo García, M., & Blanco-Hernández, M. (2025). SMEs and sustainable practices: Identifying key factors from Spanish evidence. *SAGE Open, 15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251329631

5. Kumar, J., Rana, S., Rani, M., Rani, G., Rani, V., & Kumar, A. (2024). Cloud computing adoption and firm performance: Evidence from SME owners. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*. Advance online publication.

6. Uddin, A., Cetindamar, D., Hawryszkiewycz, I., & Sohaib, O. (2023). The role of dynamic cloud capability in improving SME's strategic agility and resource flexibility: An empirical study. *Sustainability, 15*(11), 8467. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118467

7. (2020). The adoption of cloud computing in small and medium enterprises: A developing country perspective. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-06-2019-0063

8. (2026). Cloud computing adoption: A study of SMEs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computing and Informatics*. IEEE.

9. Sabeena, A. A., Das, S., Hassan, A., Rahman, R., Kamal, M. B., Utsho, M. R., ... & Sozib, H. M. (2026, April). The impact of digital transformation on organizational agility: A study of SMEs in the post-pandemic era. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Economics and Finance in the Digital Business Transformation (INCOSEF 2025)* (p. 65). Springer Nature.